Parks, Trails and Community Facilities Initiative Community Advisory Committee

Meeting Summary for March 7, 2018

Attending:

Advisory Committee Members:			
Al Dieckman	√	Jeannie Seil	V
Angelina Benedetti	V	Jeffrey Kelly	
Bob Reeder (CAC Vice Chair)		Melody Kroeger	
Brendan Armstrong	V	Mij Charbonneau	$\sqrt{}$
Brian Kaelin	V	Mitch Shepherd	
Colin Walker		Rocale Timmons	
Dana Rochex	V	Ted Rodriguez	$\sqrt{}$
Devin Malkin		Tim Searing (CAC Chair)	$\sqrt{}$
Dr. Linda Smith	√		
City Staff and Others:			
Ed Prince		Leslie Betlach	
Carol Ann Witschi		Steve Brown	$\sqrt{}$
Jay Covington		Stefanie Coleman	
Kelly Beymer		Cailin Hunsaker	
Jan Hawn		Karen Reed	V
Dana Appel		Maryjane Van Cleave	
Misty Baker	V	Mayor Law	

Meeting Commenced: 4:03pm

1. Welcome

Tim Searing welcomed everyone. Agenda was reviewed. Today's meeting will be a discussion on rating criteria for the list of projects.

- 2. It was moved and seconded to accept and approve the Meeting 3 Summary.
- 3. The questions that have been accumulated are compiled on the Questions Tracking sheet, with most of the questions address. This document is in tonight's handout packet. Continue to use the 3x5 cards to record any questions that come to mind (include name, will be kept confidential); there are a few questions that were submitted, that staff will address at Meeting 5.
- 4. Reviewed Charter from Meeting 1. Recapped elements. It was moved and seconded to accept and approve the Charter, reflecting the updated number of members, being 17, not 18 as in the original charter.
- 5. Kelly gave a presentation, Q&A: Geographic Equity Where are the Gaps? See PowerPoint for details.

Q: Why doesn't the City want to acquire parkland under 2 acres in size?

A: Neighborhood parks are usually not under 2 acres, as it is labor-intensive and expensive to maintain.

Q: Are kids' playground represented in Slide 17 and 18? A: Yes, they are included in current assets (parks, etc).

- 6. Karen started the discussion on rating criteria. Meetings 4 and 5 will be discussions on the projects, meetings 6 and 7 will be about funding. In reviewing the handouts, committee members will rate each project on up to 3 questions. Much discussion on criteria, weighing of questions, what questions to rate them on, geographic equity, how to identify legacy and quick wins, and which of all the projects to actually rate.
 - a. The project list provides projects identified in the Parks, Recreation and Natural Areas Plan. It was decided that staff will include the 6-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) projects into this scoring exercise. The bottom of the list are 'struck through' projects that were identified as projects not suitable for the initiative. "Quick Wins" will only be Deferred Maintenance projects that staff will identify, as they will be projects that can be completed in two years.
 - b. Karen reviewed the handout: Summary of Evaluation Criteria used in the 3 Plans" and the "Proposed Strategic Criteria".
 - c. The questions identified for the first round of project rating:
 - i. Will this be a project that is attractive/ exciting to Renton residents?
 - ii. Will this project likely have support of business community as well as residents?
 - iii. Will this project address geographic equity or other systemic gaps that you believe are important to address?
 - d. The matrix will be mailed to committee members by Monday, March 12th to score each project on the three questions. The matrix, with a ballot must be returned to city staff (Roberta Graver, rgraver@rentonwa.gov) by 4:00pm Friday, March16th.
- 7. <u>Next Meeting Agenda</u>: Meeting #5 will cover further discussion on the criteria and how the rating of projects turned out. Meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, March 21, 4:00pm, 7th Floor Conferencing Center.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:43pm.

Meeting Summary Approved:	Date: