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INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

Home to just over 93,600 residents, the City of Renton has conducted resident surveys in 2008 and 2011. The 2015 Resident Survey was built on the previous research efforts but was modified to address the changing environment for conducting market research and the changing needs of the City. The survey will allow Renton to:

- Understand were residents feel the City is doing well
- Gain insight on what residents feel the City should do to improve
- Gauge residents’ use and perceptions of City services, and
- Provide valuable insight to aid City officials in planning with residents in mind.

The Survey was conducted October 24 to December 28, 2015. Average survey length for residents was just under 20 minutes.

QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN

The questionnaire design process began with a careful review of previous questionnaires. While key measures were retained, questions were dropped or revised to provide higher quality data. In addition, new questions were added to incorporate the 5-Star Rating and address current issues. The average survey time was just under 20 minutes and included questions regarding:

- Renton as a Place to Live
- Diversity and Inclusion
- Activities and Events
- Situational Safety
- Police, Fire, and EMS
- Disaster Preparedness
- Mobility
- Utilities and Maintenance
- Civic Engagement
- Information Sources
- Government Actions
- City Employees
- Demographics

Once completed, the survey was translated and made available in English, Spanish, and Vietnamese.
**METHODODOLOGY**

To ensure a representative sample of all residents and to address the high incidence of cell phone-only households or households whose members primarily use cell phones, the sampling and data collection methodology was based on an address-based sample and mixed mode data collection (online and phone). The City of Renton provided NWRG with a complete list of census blocks within the city limits. NWRG drew a random sample of 10,575 households within the City limits. The sample was then matched against a comprehensive database to in an effort to append a cellular or landline telephone number. Approximately 35 percent of the total sample drawn had an associated cell phone number and an additional 22 percent had an associated landline number. A sample of these households was contacted by telephone.

A sample of those addresses not containing a matching phone number was sent a letter describing the purpose of the survey and inviting residents to participate online, or by calling a toll-free phone number. The letters were printed on City letterhead and signed by Mayor Denis Law. Each pre-notification letter offered a unique Login and a URL to access the survey. Respondents were screened to ensure that they were a head of a household (18 years of age or older) and living within Renton’s city limits. More information on address-based sampling and methodology can be found in Appendix I.

Additional telephone (both landline and cell) sample was used to target to households with a high likelihood of containing minority residents.

A total of 503 residents completed the entire survey. Using a 95 percent confidence level, the margin of error for the entire sample is no greater than plus or minus 4.3 percentage points. This means that if the study were duplicated in the same time frame with a different 503 respondents, sampled in the same fashion, 95 times out of 100, the same result would occur, within the stated range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MATCHING ABS LANDLINE NUMBERS</th>
<th>MATCHING ABS CELL PHONE NUMBERS</th>
<th>TARGETED LANDLINE NUMBERS</th>
<th>TARGETED CELL NUMBERS</th>
<th>NO MATCHING NUMBERS (MAIL TO ONLINE)</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAMPLE DRAWN</td>
<td>1,993</td>
<td>3,872</td>
<td>1,124</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>4,710</td>
<td>12,633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMPLE USED</td>
<td>1,852</td>
<td>2,622</td>
<td>1,124</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>4,710</td>
<td>11,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPLETED INTERVIEWS</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resident survey data are weighted to ensure that results of the 2015 Resident Survey are generally representative of the population of Renton according to 2013 American City Survey (ACS) 5-Year estimates. The first stage weight-adjusted for sample frame type by taking the proportion in the sample frame and dividing by the proportion of completed interviews for each sample type. The second weight is a raking post-stratification to ensure that gender, age, race, and income distributions of the sample match those of all Renton residents. Unless otherwise noted, weighted data are used throughout this report. Details on the weighting methods used and a comparison of the weighted and unweighted sample to the Renton’s population can be found in Appendix II.

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
QUALITY STANDARDS AND REPORTING CONVENTIONS

ISO

All work was conducted and is reported in accordance with ISO 20252: 2010 Market Research quality standards, and all respondents were assured that their responses would be kept confidential. No answers or opinions are tied back to individual residents, and responses are aggregated by neighborhood and analyzed by groups.

BENCHMARKING

Benchmarking is defined as “the routine comparison with similar organizations of administrative processes, practices, costs and staffing, to uncover opportunities to improve services and/or to lower costs.”¹ Benchmarking enables communities such as Renton to:

- Quantify measures of performance
- Quantify the gap between your city and best practices
- Encourage focus on outcomes rather than simply performance

Northwest Research Group conducts an annual benchmarking study based on a national sample of over 2,400 households. Results are not aggregated from other studies completed from other jurisdictions or that are available in the public domain. Some of the questions asked in Renton’s survey were drawn from NWRG’s benchmarking survey. For benchmarking, Renton’s results for key questions are compared to ratings among residents living in equivalent communities (Renton’s Star Rating and the category above).

The contents of all benchmark data available in this report are copyrighted by Northwest Research Group LLC, unless otherwise indicated. All rights are reserved by Northwest Research Group, and benchmark data may not be reproduced, downloaded, disseminated, published, or transferred in any form or by any means except with the prior written permission of Northwest Research Group.

In addition to analysis by key demographic segments, analysis looks at differences in results by each of Renton’s Community Planning Areas.

The left side of the figure on this page shows the total weighted number of interviews conducted in each planning area, and the right side shows the distribution of responses where each star represents a completed survey. The study was not designed to control for area level populations, so the number of completed interviews may not match the actual population distribution of Renton.

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
Understanding the Data

This report summarizes the major findings of the research for each survey topic overall.

Tables and charts provide supporting data. Unless otherwise noted, column percentages are used. Percentages are rounded to the nearest whole number. Columns generally sum to 100 percent except in cases of rounding. In some instances, columns sum to more than 100 percent due to multiple responses given to a single question; these cases are noted.

Except as noted, “don’t know” and “refused” responses are counted as missing values and are not included in the reported percentages.

The base for a question may vary depending on answers to previous questions or inclusion in a specific analytical group – for example, residents who have had contact with the police vs. those who have not had contact. Unless otherwise noted, the results in this report are based on the final weighted sample data, although actual (unweighted) base sizes are used to determine statistically significant differences and reliability.

The report also identifies differences that are statistically significant. If a particular difference is large enough to be unlikely to have occurred due to chance or sampling error, the difference is statistically significant. Unless otherwise noted, statistical significance was tested at the 95 percent confidence levels. A statistically significant difference may not always be practically significant. The differences of practical significance depend on the judgment of the organization’s management.
[Page intentionally left blank for pagination purposes]
**Key Findings**

**5-Star Rating**

Northwest Research Group’s Research program includes a proprietary index and benchmarking tool, the 5-Star Rating System. This rating is designed to measure the overall quality of governance and vision as a complement to traditional and individual measures of the quality of life and delivery of services in a city.

The 5-Star Rating is a composite index that uses a robust theoretical and mathematical model to capture the essence of how well a city or town meets the critical needs and expectations of its residents and. The model is based on a weighted sum of five questions: (1) overall quality of life, (2) overall quality of city services, (3) perceived comparability to other communities (that is, seen as better or worse than other communities), (4) direction the city or town is headed, and (5) perceived value of services for tax dollars paid.

Each question is given a relative weight based on proprietary analysis. The results are then combined using a logarithmic calculation to create the 5-Star Rating. The relative strength of the weights used for each question are shown in the figure to the right. Comparability to Other Communities receives the greatest weight in the formula while the Overall Quality of City Services receives the smallest weight in the formula.

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
Renton is a solid 4-Star City and, receiving moderate to good ratings on four out of the five power measures.

### Overall 5-Star Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NWRG1: How would you rate the overall quality of life in Renton?</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>NWRG2: How would you rate the overall quality of services provided by the City of Renton?</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Combined Exceeds Expectations</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>% Total Combined Better</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Greatly Exceeds Expectations</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>% Significantly Better</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Exceeds Expectations</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>% Better than Other Cities</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>6.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NWRG3: Compared with other cities and towns, how would you rate Renton as a place to live?</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>NWRG4: Overall, would you say that Renton is headed in the right or wrong direction?</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Combined Exceeds Expectations</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>% Combined Right Direction</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Greatly Exceeds Expectations</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>% Strongly Right Direction</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Exceeds Expectations</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>% Somewhat Right Direction</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>6.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NWRG5: Do you feel that you are getting your money’s worth for your tax dollar or not?</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Combined Receiving Value</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Strongly Receive Value</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Somewhat Receive Value</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>6.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
Renton performs well against other 4-Star ratings.

Renton performs similar to other 4-Star ratings regarding the Overall Quality of Life and the Direction the Community is headed.

Renton outperforms other 4-Star ratings regarding the overall Quality and Value of Services provided by the City.

Renton performs slightly below other 4-Star ratings regarding comparability to other communities.
5-Star Rating by Community Planning Area

With the exception of residents living in the City Center, all Community Planning Areas rate Renton as a 4-Star City.

- Just over half of those living in the City Center give the City less than a 4-Star rating.

Table 1: 5-Star Rating by Community Planning Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>&lt; 4-Star</th>
<th>4-Star</th>
<th>4.5-Star</th>
<th>5-Star</th>
<th>Star Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citywide</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4-Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benson</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>4-Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Center</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3.5-Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highlands</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>4-Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Hill</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4-Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talbot</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>4-Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Plateau</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4-Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar River</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>4-Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennydale</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>4-Star</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5-Star Rating is a computed variable.
Base: All respondents (n = 503)

Bold text indicates significant difference from other Areas at a 95% confidence level.

Map 2: 5-Star Rating by Community Planning Area

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
5-STAR POWER QUESTIONS

Overall Quality of Life in Renton

Two-thirds of Renton Residents say that the quality of life meets or exceeds their expectations.

- Minority residents provide higher ratings for the Overall Quality of Life in Renton.
- Residents living in Benson provide the lowest rating while those in Kennydale and Cedar River the highest.

**Figure 1: Overall Quality of Life in Renton**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Exceeds Expectations
- Meets Expectations
- Neutral
- Does not Meet Expectations
- Mean

NW1-10—How would you rate the overall quality of life in Renton?
Mean based on 11-point scale where “0” means “does not meet expectations at all” and “10” means “greatly exceeds expectations”
Base: All respondents (n = 503)

Maps illustrate differences in mean ratings by Area when compared other Areas. Neighborhoods with the highest mean score are green, those near middle are yellow, and those with lower relative means are orange and red. Note that these are relative ratings and red does not indicate an “absolute” bad score.

For benchmarking comparisons, NWRG created a variation of the Net Promoter Score. Questions were asked on an 11-point scale (0-10), and

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
responses were grouped so that those who responded 0 through 6 are indicated by the red bar, those who responded 9 or 10 are shown by the green bar, and those who responded 7 or 8 are not shown. The net score was computed by subtracting the red bar from the green bar (e.g. 36%-10%=26%) then multiplied by 100 to create a whole number (26%*100=26). Finally, 100 was added to the product so that the scores are set on a scale from 0 to 200. In order to get a zero, every respondent must have answered between 0 and 6. Conversely, in order to get a 200, every respondent must have answered either a 9 or 10.

Renton performs similar to National, Pacific West, and Other 4-Star benchmarks.

**Figure 2: Overall Quality of Life in Renton—Benchmarked**

**NW/RG1—How would you rate the overall quality of life in Renton?**

Base: All respondents (n = 503)

© Copyright 2015, Northwest Research Group, LLC. All rights reserved; benchmark numbers should not be reproduced or used in any form without written permission.

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
Two out of three residents say that the quality of city services meets or exceeds their expectations. There is room for improvement, however, as over twice the number of residents think that the quality of services simply “exceeds” rather than “greatly” exceeds expectations.

- Residents age 55 and older give significantly higher ratings than those under 35.
- Residents in West Hill and City Center provide the lowest ratings while those in the Highlands and Talbot give the highest.

**Figure 3: Overall Quality of City Services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does Not Meet</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greatly Exceeds</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exceeds</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean = 6.93

**Map 4: Quality of Renton’s Services by Community Planning Area**

Maps illustrate differences in mean ratings by Area when compared other Areas. Neighborhoods with the highest mean score are green, those near middle are yellow, and those with lower relative means are orange and red. Note that these are relative ratings and red does not indicate an “absolute” bad score.

NWRG2—How would you rate the overall quality of services provided by the City of Renton?

Mean based on eleven-point scale where “0” mean “does not meet expectations at all” and “10” means “greatly exceeds expectations.”

Base: All respondents (n = 503)

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
When the Quality of Services provided by the City is benchmarked nationally, Renton performs higher than national and 4-Star benchmarks.

**Figure 4: Overall Quality of City Services—Benchmarked**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Renton</th>
<th>National</th>
<th>Pacific West</th>
<th>Other 4-Star Benchmarks</th>
<th>4.5-Star Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>-33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NWRG1—How would you rate the overall quality of services provided by the City of Renton?
Base: All respondents (n = 503)

© Copyright 2015, Northwest Research Group, LLC. All rights reserved; benchmark numbers should not be reproduced or used in any form without written permission.
Fifty-eight percent (58%) of residents believe that Renton is “somewhat” or “significantly” better than other Communities.

- Residents 55 and older give significantly higher than those under 55.
- Residents with incomes of $35,000 or higher also give significantly higher ratings for this question.
- Those living in the City Center and on the East Plateau give the lowest ratings while those living in the Highlands and West Hill give the highest rating.

**Figure 5: Renton as a Place to Live Compared to other Communities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>&lt;$35k</th>
<th>$35k+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Significantly Better than Other Cities</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Better</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worse than Other Cities</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
When Comparability to Other Communities is benchmarked, nationally, Renton’s performance is similar to 4-Star benchmarks but lags slightly when compared to national and other Pacific West benchmarks.

**Figure 6: Comparability to Other Communities—Benchmarked**

Base: All respondents (n = 503)

© Copyright 2015, Northwest Research Group, LLC. All rights reserved; benchmark numbers should not be reproduced or used in any form without written permission.
Direction Renton Is Headed

Respondents were asked to indicate if they felt that Renton was headed in the right or wrong direction.

Two-thirds of believe that Renton is headed in the right direction, and one out of five believe so “strongly”.

- Minorities, as well as those age 55 and older are most likely to believe the City is headed in the Right direction.
- Similarly, residents with household incomes of $35,000 or higher are also more likely to believe Renton is headed in the right direction.
- Those who live in City Center are most likely to say the City is headed in the wrong direction.

Figure 7: Direction Renton is Headed by Age Group

Map 6: Direction City is Headed by Community Planning Area

Maps illustrate differences in mean ratings by Area when compared other Areas. Neighborhoods with the highest mean score are green, those near middle are yellow, and those with lower relative means are orange and red. Note that these are relative ratings and red does not indicate an “absolute” bad score.

NWRG4—Overall, would you say that Renton is headed in the right or wrong direction?
Mean based on eleven-point scale where “0” means “strongly wrong direction” and “10” means “strongly right direction.”

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups
While on-par with 4-Star benchmarks this result appears to be showing recognition of planning efforts put forth by the City as significantly more Renton residents believe that the town is strongly headed in the right direction when compared to other benchmarks in the Pacific West.

*Figure 8: Direction Renton is Headed—Benchmarked*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Renton</th>
<th>National</th>
<th>Pacific West</th>
<th>Other 4-Star Benchmarks</th>
<th>4.5-Star Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>83%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-36%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-20%</td>
<td>-20%</td>
<td>-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base: All respondents (n = 503)

© Copyright 2015, Northwest Research Group, LLC. All rights reserved; benchmark numbers should not be reproduced or used in any form without written permission.

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
**Value of Services for Tax Dollars Paid**

While just over half of residents say that they are getting their money’s worth for their tax dollar, over one third are neutral.

- Those living on the East Plateau are the least likely to feel they are getting their money’s worth while those living in Talbot and the Highlands are the most likely to feel that they are getting their money’s worth.

**Figure 9: Value of Services for Tax Dollars Paid**

![Bar Chart](chart.png)

*The chart illustrates the percentage of residents who feel they are getting their money's worth. The color code is as follows: green for Definitely Getting, yellow for Getting Money’s Worth, red for Not Getting Money’s Worth, and black for Neutral.*

**NWRGS—Do you feel you are getting your money’s worth for your city tax dollar?**

Mean based on eleven-point scale where “0” means, “definitely not getting money’s worth” and “10” means “definitely getting money’s worth.”

**Map 7: Value of Services for Tax Dollars Paid by Community Planning Area**

![Map](map.png)

*Maps illustrate differences in mean ratings by Area when compared other Areas. Neighborhoods with the highest mean score are green, those near middle are yellow, and those with lower relative means are orange and red. Note that these are relative ratings and red does not indicate an “absolute” bad score.*

---

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
When the Value of Services is benchmarked nationally, Renton performs similarly to National and regional benchmarks and slightly above 4-Star levels.

**Figure 10: Value of Services for Tax Dollars Paid—Benchmarked**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Renton</th>
<th>National</th>
<th>Pacific West</th>
<th>Other 4-Star Benchmarks</th>
<th>4.5-Star Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Score</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definitely Getting Money's Worth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Getting Money's Worth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base: All respondents (n = 503)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

© Copyright 2015, Northwest Research Group, LLC. All rights reserved; benchmark numbers should not be reproduced or used in any form without written permission.
KEY COMMUNITY INDICATORS

Overall Ratings

The 2015 Resident Survey asked 30 questions regarding the quality and residents’ perceptions of various aspects of the City of Renton.

Factor analysis is a type of advanced analytics that looks at the responses to multiple questions and groups questions with highly correlated responses into factors. All 30 of the questions were analyzed, and the results showed that many of the answers were highly related (e.g., individual responses to questions dealing with government action were very similar). The scores of the related questions are combined to create a new variable called a dimension.

The use of factor analysis to create these dimensions simplifies reporting and provides for a more stable model when running other analytics such as the Key Drivers Analysis later in this report.

The table on the next page shows which questions were highly related to one another and how they were grouped to create each of the six dimensions: Maintenance and Environment, Inclusion, Livability, Traffic and Roads, Government Actions, and Inclusion.

Figure 11: Overall Performance on Key Question Indicator Dimensions

![Overall Key Community Dimension Scores](chart.png)
### Table 2: Key Community Questions and Corresponding Dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance / Environment</td>
<td>UTIL_1 – Maintenance of sidewalks and walkways</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UTIL_2 – Street repair and maintenance</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UTIL_3 – Garbage, yard waste, and recycling collection service</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UTIL_4 – Taste and cleanliness of Renton’s drinking water</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UTIL_5 – Protecting and restoring Renton’s natural streams, lakes, and wetlands</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UTIL5_1 – Providing access to and preservation of open spaces such as trails, parks, and wetlands</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UTIL5_2 – Quality of the natural environment</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion</td>
<td>DI1 – How would you rate Renton’s openness and willingness to include people of diverse backgrounds?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DI2 – How would you rate the job Renton government does to reach out to and include its diverse cultural and language populations?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GL5_7 – Providing support for people in need</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livability</td>
<td>GL1_1 – As a place to raise children</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GL1_2 – As a place to work</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GL1_3 – Neighborhood as a place to live</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GL5_3 – Availability of recreation activities</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GL5_4 – Well-planned residential neighborhoods</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GL5_5 – Well-planned business Zones</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GL5_6 – Employment opportunities in Renton</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic and Roads</td>
<td>MOB_1 – Overall ease of travelling</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MOB_2 – Ease of car travel in Renton</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MOB_5 – Adequacy of local roads to support new residential and business developments?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Actions</td>
<td>GA1 – Keeping residents informed</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA2 – Seeking resident’s involvement and input</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA3 – Having a clear vision and strategy for the future</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA4 – Listening to its residents</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UTIL4 – Code enforcement related to property maintenance</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Transit</td>
<td>MOB_3 – Ease of being able to walk to many different places</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MOB_4 – Adequacy of bike lanes and paths</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MOB_6 – Ability to get around Renton using public transportation</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MOB_7 – Ability to get from Renton to/from other places in the Puget Sound using public transportation</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grouped Ratings**

Maintenance and Environment is the highest rated of the six dimensions.  

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
- Residents rate the taste and cleanliness of Renton’s drinking water highest within this group.
- The lowest ratings are maintenance related such as maintenance of sidewalks and walkways and street repair and maintenance.

### Environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Community Questions</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste and cleanliness of Renton's drinking water</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage, yard waste, and recycling collection services</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing access to and preservation of open spaces</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renton’s performance protecting and restoring natural streams, lakes, and wetlands</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renton’s job with quality of the natural environment?</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of sidewalks and walkways</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street repair and maintenance</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Red dividing lines in tables indicates the overall mean of the questions contained in that dimension.
Base: All Respondents

### Inclusion

Inclusion is the second highest rated dimension.

- Highest among these is Renton’s openness and willingness to include people of diverse backgrounds.

### Table 4: Performance on Key Community Questions—Inclusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Community Questions</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness and willingness to include people of diverse backgrounds</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renton government reaching out and including its diverse populations</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing support for people in need</td>
<td>2.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Red dividing lines in tables indicates the overall mean of the questions contained in that dimension.
Base: All Respondents

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
Livability is third in the list receiving mostly “fair” to “good” ratings.

- Neighborhoods and recreational activities receive the highest ratings in this dimension.

- Improvements could be made regarding business planning, employment opportunities and downtown activities and shopping.

### Table 5: Performance on Key Community Questions—Livability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Community Questions</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your neighborhood as a place to live</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of recreation activities</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renton as a place to work</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renton as a place to raise children</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-planned residential neighborhoods</td>
<td>2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-planned business districts</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment opportunities</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having a vibrant downtown with sufficient activities and shopping</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Red dividing lines in tables indicate the overall mean of the questions contained in that dimension.

Base: All Respondents

Traffic and Roads is the fourth rated Dimension, just below the overall mid-point.

- Overall ease of traveling in Renton receives the highest rating in this category.

- Improvements could be made to the adequacy of roads to support new developments

### Table 6: Performance on Key Community Questions—Traffic and Roads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Community Questions</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall ease of travelling in Renton</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of car travel in Renton</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequacy of roads to support new developments</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Red dividing lines in tables indicate the overall mean of the questions contained in that dimension.

Base: All Respondents
Ratings for government actions are quite similar to those for Traffic and Roads.

- Residents feel that the government does a “fair” to “good” job of keeping residents informed and having a clear vision and strategy for the future.
- Issues related to code enforcement and property maintenance receive the lowest ratings in this group.

### Table 7: Performance on Key Community Questions—Government Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Community Questions</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keeping residents informed</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having a clear vision and strategy for the future</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listening to its residents</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeking resident’s involvement and input</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renton’s code enforcement related to property maintenance</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Red dividing lines in tables indicate the overall mean of the questions contained in that dimension.*

*Base: All Respondents*

Options for alternative transportation is the lowest scoring dimension in Renton, with an overall mean score of below the midpoint on a 4-point scale (midpoint is 2.5).

- Ease of walking and adequacy of bike lanes are key areas for improvement here.

### Table 8: Performance on Key Community Questions—Alternative Transit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Community Questions</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to get from Renton to/from other places in the Puget Sound using public transportation</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to get around Renton using public transportation</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of being able to walk to many different places</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequacy of bike lanes and paths</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Red dividing lines in tables indicate the overall mean of the questions contained in that dimension.*

*Base: All Respondents*
KEY DRIVERS ANALYSIS

Key Drivers Analysis uses a combination of factor and regression analysis to identify which areas have the greatest impact on residents’ overall impressions of Renton as measured by its 5-Star rating. The purpose of these analyses is to determine which questions in the survey are most closely associated with Renton’s 5-Star rating. While Key Drivers Analysis is somewhat complex, and a full description is beyond the scope of this report, in its simplest form, Key Drivers Analysis looks for a correlation between a respondent’s 5-Star Rating and how he or she responded to each of the key questions. If there is a significant correlation between the two, then the question (or dimension) is considered to be a “driver” of the 5-Star rating.

Key Drivers Analysis is useful, as it provides the City with specific areas of focus in which to improve. For example, the question “seeks residents’ involvement and input” is a key driver of Renton’s 5-Star rating; however, satisfaction is relatively low in this area compared to other areas of government actions. Key Drivers Analysis suggests that if Renton was to focus on improving in this area—and residents recognize this improvement—Renton’s overall 5-Star Rating should increase.

Conversely, “Openness and willingness to include people of diverse backgrounds” is not a key driver of the 5-Star rating. This does not mean that residents do or do not agree with this statement or that it is not important. In this case, it means that there is little variance in residents’ opinions, and that there is no strong correlation between this and Renton’s 5-Star rating.
The first step in the analysis identifies the extent to which the six overall dimensions identified earlier impact Renton’s 5-Star rating.

The dimensions for Livability and Government Actions have a significant impact on Renton’s 5-Star rating. This is not to suggest that the other dimensions are not important. Rather, it is likely that residents see Livability and Government Actions as more closely related to the overall quality of life in Renton.

Key Drivers Analysis looks at relationships between individual survey questions or combinations of these questions and Renton’s 5-Star rating and identifies the questions that have the greatest influence on Renton’s 5-Star rating.

Figure 12: Key Drivers Analysis—Overall Dimensions

Those factors in red and bold are key drivers—that is, a change in these areas would have a significant impact on Renton’s 5-Star rating. Those factors in black are not drivers—that is, a change in these areas does not significantly impact Renton’s 5-Star Rating.
The second step in the analysis identifies the extent to which each of the individual Key Questions contained within the overall dimension is a key driver. Again, regression analysis is used to identify areas that drive Renton’s 5-Star rating. At the end of the Key Drivers section is a summary table that identifies the key drivers and relative performances for each dimension and attribute within dimensions.

Livability is the largest driver—that is, ratings for this dimension have the largest overall impact on Renton’s 5-Star Rating.

Three of the eight attributes within this dimension have statistically significant impacts. They are indicated by bold, red text in the chart to the right.

- **Renton as a place to raise children**
  - The City is performing about average—watch and maintain
- **Having well-planned residential neighborhoods**
  - This is an area for improvement
- **Neighborhood as a place to live**
  - The City is performing well—maintain

*Figure 13: Key Drivers Analysis—Livability*

Those factors in red and bold are key drivers—that is, a change in these areas would have a significant impact on Renton’s 5-Star rating.

Those factors in black are not drivers—that is, a change in these areas does not significantly impact Renton’s 5-Star Rating.
Government Actions is the second largest impact over Renton’s 5-Star Rating. All, nine attributes have statistically significant impacts.

- **Renton is performing above average on**
  - Keeping residents informed

- **Renton is performing near average on**
  - Having a clear vision and strategy for the future

- **Renton has room for improvement regarding**
  - Listening to its residents
  - Seeking resident’s involvement and input
  - Code enforcement related to property maintenance

*Figure 14: Key Drivers Analysis—Government Actions*

Those factors in red and bold are key drivers—that is, a change in these areas would have a significant impact on Renton’s 5-Star rating.

Those factors in black are not drivers—that is, a change in these areas does not significantly impact Renton’s 5-Star Rating.

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
While not a driver, Traffic and Roads has the third largest overall impact on Renton’s 5-Star Rating.

Two of the three attributes within this dimension have statistically significant impacts.

- **Overall ease of traveling in Renton**
  - Renton is performing about average—watch and maintain

- **Adequacy of roads to support new developments**
  - This is an area for improvement

**Figure 15: Key Drivers Analysis—Traffic and Roads**

![Pie chart showing key drivers analysis for Traffic and Roads]

Those factors in red and bold are key drivers—that is, a change in these areas would have a significant impact on Renton’s 5-Star rating.

Those factors in black are not drivers—that is, a change in these areas does not significantly impact Renton’s 5-Star Rating.

Maintenance and Environment is not a statistically significant driver of Renton’s 5-Star Rating, however four of the seven attributes within this dimension do have an impact on the 5-Star Rating.

**Figure 16: Key Drivers Analysis—Maintenance and Environment**

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
- Renton is doing well with the following
  - Taste and cleanliness of Renton’s drinking water
  - Garbage, yard waste, and recycling collection services
- Renton should watch and maintain
  - Performance protecting and restoring natural streams, lakes, and wetlands

Alternative Transportation, is not a statistically significant driver of Renton’s 5-Star Rating. However, two of the four attributes within this

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
The ability to get around Renton using public transportation and the ease of being able to walk to many different places are two areas to potentially improve.

The final dimension, Inclusion, is not a statistically significant driver of...
Renton’s 5-Star Rating. However, two of the three attributes within this dimension do have some impact.

- Providing support for people in need and reaching out to include members of the diverse population are two areas to potentially improve.
**Resource Allocation Analysis**

The final step in the analysis is to identify key areas where Renton may wish to allocate additional resources based on what is most important to residents (i.e., are key drivers of Renton’s 5-Star rating) and current performance within individual areas. Four resource allocation strategies are identified:

1. **Invest**: These are areas that are key drivers of Renton’s 5-Star Rating and where residents’ agreement is below average when compared to the overall mean within each dimension. Investing in these areas would have a significant impact on Renton’s 5-Star rating. In the table on the next page, these areas are highlighted in dark red.

2. **Maintain**: These are areas identified as key drivers of Renton’s 5-Star Rating and where residents’ agreement is above average when compared to the overall mean within each dimension. Because of the impact of these items on Renton’s rating, it is important to maintain existing levels of service in these areas, as a decrease in the level of service would have a negative impact on Renton’s 5-Star rating. These areas are highlighted in dark green.

3. **Monitor**: These are areas identified as key drivers of Renton’s 5-Star Rating and where residents’ agreement is at or near average when compared to the overall mean within each dimension. Because of the impact of these items on Renton’s rating and their mid-level satisfaction, these are areas to monitor and invest additional resources as available to improve performance. These items are highlighted in dark yellow.

4. **Non-Driver**: These are areas that do not have a statically significant impact on Renton’s 5-Star Rating and fall into three categories:
   a. **Lower than average agreement**: These are areas where residents’ agreement is below average when compared to the overall mean within each dimension. While these currently do not impact the City’s 5-Star Rating, they should be monitored to prevent potential issues. These are highlighted in light red.
   b. **Above average agreement**: These are areas where residents’ agreement is above average when compared to the overall mean within each dimension. While these currently do not impact the City’s 5-Star Rating, they are high performers and should be maintained. These are highlighted in light green.
   c. **Average Agreement**: These are areas where residents’ agreement is at or near average when compared to the overall mean within each dimension. While these currently do not impact the City’s 5-Star Rating, they residents believe that performance is adequate and these should be maintained. These are highlighted in light yellow.
### Table 9: Resource Allocation Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintenance and Environment</th>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Livability</th>
<th>Traffic and Roads</th>
<th>Government Actions</th>
<th>Alternative Transit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taste and cleanliness of Renton’s drinking water</td>
<td>Openness and willingness to include people of</td>
<td>Your neighborhood as a place to live</td>
<td>Overall ease of travelling in Renton</td>
<td>Keeping residents informed</td>
<td>Ability to get from Renton to/from other places in the Puget Sound using public transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage, yard waste, and recycling collection services</td>
<td>Renton government reaching out and including its diverse</td>
<td>Availability of recreation activities</td>
<td>Ease of car travel in Renton</td>
<td>Having a clear vision and strategy for the future</td>
<td>Ability to get around Renton using public transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing access to and preservation of open spaces</td>
<td>Providing support for people in need</td>
<td>Renton as a place to work</td>
<td>Adequacy of roads to support new developments</td>
<td>Listening to its residents</td>
<td>Ease of being able to walk to many different places</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renton’s performance protecting and restoring natural streams, lakes, and wetlands</td>
<td></td>
<td>Renton as a place to raise children</td>
<td>Seeking resident’s involvement and input</td>
<td>Adequacy of bike lanes and paths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renton’s job with quality of the natural environment?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Well-planned residential neighborhoods</td>
<td>Renton’s code enforcement related to property maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of sidewalks and walkways</td>
<td></td>
<td>Well-planned business districts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street repair and maintenance</td>
<td>Employment opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

♣ = Key Driver;

= Key driver, lower-than-average agreement, invest

= Key driver, near average agreement, invest as allowed

= Key driver, above-average agreement, maintain

= Not a driver, lower-than-average agreement; monitor

= Not a driver, near average agreement; maintain

= Not a driver, above-average agreement; maintain

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
Residents provide high ratings for Renton as a place to live, work, and raise children. High ratings are also provided for the availability of recreation activities.

Residents identified issues related to planning and increasing the vibrancy of downtown as areas of focus.

In General, minority residents provided higher ratings than white only residents.

- Seventy-two percent (72%) rated their neighborhood as a “Good” or “Excellent” place to live,
- Sixty-two percent (62%) of minority residents rated Renton as a “Good” or “Excellent” place to work,
- Fifty-five percent (55%) gave “Good” or “Excellent” ratings on Renton having well-planned residential neighborhoods, and
- Fifty-five percent (55%) gave “Good” or “Excellent” ratings on Renton providing support for people in need.

Figure 19: General Livability - Overview

GL1 – Please rate Renton on each of the following – Mean based on a 4 point scale from 1 to 4
Base: All respondents (n = 503)

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
Eighty-two percent (82%) of residents say that Renton’s openness and willingness to include people of diverse backgrounds is “Good” or “Excellent”.

- There are no significant differences across demographic groups on this question.

Nearly two-thirds believe that the government does a “Good” or “Excellent job reaching out and including the diverse population.

- Minorities provide lower ratings regarding the job the City does in providing outreach.
- There are no differences based on other demographic characteristics.

**Figure 20: Diversity and Inclusion**

**DI1** – How would you rate Renton’s openness and willingness to include people of diverse backgrounds

**DI2** – How would you rate the job the Renton government does to reach out to and include its diverse cultural and language populations

Base: All respondents (n = 503) – Mean based on a 4 point scale from 1 to 4

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
Familiarity with services provided by the City to reach out to and include diverse populations is relatively low. However, there are some differences based on demographic characteristics.

- Minorities are significantly more familiar than white residents.
- Residents 35 and older are significantly more familiar with services than those under 35.

While usage of these services is fairly low there are a few segments of the population who are most likely to use these services:

- Minority residents are twice as likely to use cultural and language services provided,
- Residents 35 and older are twice as likely than younger residents to have used cultural and language services, and
- Low income households are also more likely to use these services.

**Figure 22: Familiarity with Outreach Services**

**Figure 23: Use of Outreach Services**

**DI3** – How familiar are you with services provided by the City of Renton to reach out and include its diverse cultural and language populations?
*Base: All respondents (n = 503) – Mean based on an 11 point scale from 0 to 10*

**DI4** – Have you used any of these services (% “Yes” shown)
*Base: All respondents (n = 503)
Renton’s parks and events are very popular

- Nearly all households (96%) have visited a park or participated in an event in the City,
- Nine out of ten households have visited a City Park in the past year,
- Two-thirds of households have had someone visit the farmers market, and
- Six out of ten households have had someone attend a city event such as River Days, Holiday Lights or the 4th of July Fireworks.

Figure 24: Participation in Activities and Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Personally</th>
<th>Someone Else</th>
<th>Total % of HH Attended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visit a Park</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer’s Market / Downtown Event</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Event</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Program</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attend Visual / Performing Arts</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car Show</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Picnic</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3% History Museum</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3% Festival Event</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AE1 – In the past 12 months, have you or anyone in your household . . .
Base: All respondents (n = 503) Note: the total % of HH Attended may vary slightly from the sum of “personally” and “someone else” due to rounding

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
## Value of Special Events

Overall, support for special events is very high; 
- Nearly half of residents “strongly” agree that special events build a sense of community.
- Two out of five “strongly” agree that special events are a good use of public resources.
- One third “strongly” agree that special events promote awareness of Renton’s amenities.

Households with children as well as households with residents 55 and older are more likely to agree with these statements.

![Figure 25: Value of Special Events](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Somewhat Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promote Awareness of Renton’s Amenities</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build a Sense of Community</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Use of Public Resources</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
In general, residents feel fairly safe in Renton. As expected, people generally feel less safe after dark, particularly downtown. Safety on Renton’s trails and safety after dark in neighborhoods are areas for further exploration.

In general, minorities feel safer around Renton, specifically downtown and in their neighborhoods after dark.

Conversely, residents under 35 years old generally feel less safe downtown than residents 35 and older.

**Figure 26: Downtown Safety after Dark by Age and Race**

**Figure 27: Safety in Renton**

SS1 – How safe or unsafe do you feel while walking alone in the following situations?

Base: All respondents (n = 503) – Mean based on a 5-point scale from 1 to 5

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
### Situational Safety by Neighborhood

Safety varies by neighborhood with those living in the City Center, Highlands, West Hill and Benson generally feeling less safe than others.

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
Figure 28: Daytime Safety in Neighborhood

SS1 – How safe or unsafe do you feel while walking alone in your neighborhood during the day?
Base: All respondents (n = 503)

Figure 29: Safety in Neighborhood After Dark

SS1 – How safe or unsafe do you feel while walking alone in your neighborhood after dark?
Base: All respondents (n = 503)

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups
Nearly eight out of ten residents are confident that the Police Department will respond to emergencies in a timely manner and more than seven out of ten believe that Renton’s police department is consistent in its law enforcement. Additionally, over three-quarters of residents believe that the police are “somewhat” or “very” approachable.

There are no differences in the way resident’s rate the police based on demographics such as age, race, and income. However, there are some differences based on geography.

- Residents living in the City Center are less confident in a timely response and consistent enforcement of the laws,
- Those living in Talbot are less confident than other resident in consistent enforcement of the laws, and
- Those living on the East Plateau believe the police are less approachable than other residents.

**Figure 30: Police Service Interactions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confidence in PD timely response</th>
<th>Confidence in consistent PD enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Confident</td>
<td>Very Confident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Confident</td>
<td>Somewhat Confident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Confident</td>
<td>Not Confident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 31: Police Service Interactions**

**Approachability of Police**

*Mean: 7.72*

PD1 – How confident are you in Renton’s police department to respond to emergencies in a timely manner

PD2 – How confident are you in Renton’s police department to consistently enforce the laws

Base: All respondents (n = 503) – Mean based on an 11 point scale from 0 to 10
Renton’s fire and EMS services also receive high marks. Nine out of ten residents are confident that Renton’s fire and EMS services will respond to emergencies in a timely manner. Additionally, 88 percent of residents believe the fire and EMS personnel are “somewhat” or “very” approachable.

There are no differences in the way resident’s rate the police based on demographics such as age, race, and income.

However, residents on the East Plateau provide lower ratings for both confidence in a timely response and approachability.

**Figure 32: Confidence in Fire Department**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confidence in Fire/EMS timely response</th>
<th>1% 10% 33% 56%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

FIRE1 – How confident are you in Renton’s Fire and EMS department to respond to emergencies in a timely manner

Base: All respondents (n = 503) – Mean based on an 11 point scale from 0 to 10

**Figure 33: Police Service Interactions**

Approachability of Fire/EMS

Mean: 8.54

- Very Approachable: 68%
- Somewhat Approachable: 30%
- Neutral: 11%
- Not Approachable: 1%

PD4 – Overall, how would you rate the approachability of F in Renton? – Mean based on an 11 point scale from 0 to 10

Base: All respondents (n = 503) – Mean based on an 11 point scale from 0 to 10

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
Overall, residents state that they are fairly prepared for emergencies—72 percent indicate they are “somewhat” or “very” prepared.

However, less than half of residents are knowledgeable about the emergency preparedness programs offered by the city. Both measures—the level of preparedness and knowledge of programs—are greatly impacted by age, with younger residents significantly less likely to be prepared or knowledgeable.

---

**Figure 34: Household Emergency Preparedness by Age**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Very Prepared</th>
<th>Somewhat Prepared</th>
<th>Not Very Prepared</th>
<th>Not at all Prepared</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-54</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55+</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 35: Household Emergency Preparedness by Age**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Very Knowledgeable</th>
<th>Somewhat Knowledgeable</th>
<th>Not Very Knowledgeable</th>
<th>Not at all Knowledgeable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-54</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55+</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 2015 Renton Survey of Residents

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
MOBILITY

General Mobility

Transportation is a large issue throughout the Puget Sound, and Renton’s residents face many of the same challenges as other communities. While Renton generally receives “Good” ratings, several mobility attributes vary greatly depending on a variety of factors:

Public transportation:
- Lower income residents give higher ratings for public transportation both for getting around Renton and the Puget Sound—15% “excellent” ratings for both attributes.
- Residents living in the City Center provide the highest ratings for both attributes dealing with public transportation. Twenty-nine percent (29%) rate the ability of getting to/from Renton using public transportation as “excellent”, and 23 percent give “excellent ratings for public transit in Renton.
- Those living on the East Plateau give the lowest ratings.

Walkability
- Ratings on the ease of walking around town varies greatly by age. Residents 55 years old or over give significantly higher ratings than younger residents.
- Lower income residents also give higher ratings for walkability.
- Twenty-three percent (23%) of residents living in City Center provided an “excellent” rating, significantly higher than other Areas.

Figure 36: Mobility in and Around Renton

MOB – Please note that Renton has no control over major highways or interstates such as 167 or 405 so when thinking about travel, do not include these highways. How would you rate Renton on each of the following?

Base: All respondents (n = 503) – Mean based on a 4 point scale from 1 to 4

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups
Overall, residents feel that Renton is doing a fairly good job in running utilities, managing the environment and maintaining the infrastructure. The largest differences in opinion are in regards to the management of utilities:

- Residents over 55 are significantly more likely than younger residents to provide “excellent” ratings for the taste and cleanliness of the drinking water and waste collection.
- Residents living in Benson provide the highest ratings for waste collection—32% give “excellent” ratings.

**Figure 37: Utilities and Maintenance**

*Util1 – How would you rate Renton on each of the following?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taste / Cleanliness of Drinking Water</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage / Yardwaste / Recycling Collection</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to and Preservation of Open Spaces</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting / Restoring Streams, Lakes, and Wetlands</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of the Natural Environment</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk / Walkway Maintenance</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Repair / Maintenance</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Base: All respondents (n = 503) – Mean based on a 4 point scale from 1 to 4*
Overall, 15 percent of residents feel that dilapidated properties are a “Major” problem in Renton and 10 percent feel it is a “Major” problem in their neighborhood.

- Residents in Cedar River are the most likely to feel that dilapidated properties are a major issue overall, and second most likely to feel they are a “Major” problem in their neighborhood.
- Conversely, residents living in Talbot are the least likely to feel that dilapidated properties are a “Major” issue.

**Figure 38: Code Enforcement**

How would you rate Renton’s efforts to enforce codes related to property maintenance issues such as weeds, junk lots or abandoned buildings?
Base: All respondents (n = 503)

**Figure 39: Dilapidated Properties – % “Major” Problem Overall**

**Figure 40: Dilapidated Properties – % “Major” Problem In Neighborhood**

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND GOVERNMENT

Civic Engagement

One third of residents have had someone in their household volunteer in the past year, and one in five have voiced their opinion regarding city matters.

Renton residents stay fairly well informed. Nearly two-thirds read the Renton Reporter and half read the information contained in the Utility Bill.

- The Cable Access Channel is the least-used information source, less than one in ten residents watch that station to get information.

Figure 42: Civic Engagement

Volunteered

35%

29%

6%

Voiced Opinion to City

20%

18%

16%

2%

CIVIC1_1 – In the past 12 months, have you or your household members voiced your opinions regarding the City to a City employee?

CIVIC1_2 – In the past 12 months, have you or your household members volunteered your time for a group or activity to help others in your neighborhood?

Base: All respondents (n = 503)

Figure 41: Information Sources Used

INFO1 – Which of the following do you use to get information about the City of Renton?

Base: All respondents (n = 503) – Multiple select, results may sum to more than 100%

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups
The majority of residents believe that Renton is doing a “Good” or “Excellent” job of listening to and informing its residents.

There are no differences by demographics such as age, income, or race.

However, there are some differences by geography. Residents living in Cedar River and Kennydale feel most informed while those on the East Plateau and City Center feel the least informed.

**Figure 43: Performance of Government’s Involvement with Residents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Keeping residents informed</th>
<th>Having a clear vision and strategy for the future</th>
<th>Listening to its residents</th>
<th>Seeking residents involvement and input</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GA1 – How would you rate the job the Renton City Government does in each of the following? Base: All respondents (n = 503)

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
Forty-one percent (41%) of Renton residents have had contact with an employee of the City.
- Two thirds of those contacts are in person.
- Over 80 percent of residents rate the employees they have contacted as “Good” or “Excellent”

**Figure 44: Contact with City Employees**

*CE1 – In the past 12 months, have you had contact with an employee of the City of Renton? Base: All respondents (n = 503)*

**Figure 45: Performance of Renton City Employees**

**Figure 46: Type of Contact with City Employees**

*CE2 – Was that contact in person, via email, or over the phone? Base: Respondents with Contact with City Employees (n = 222)*

---

4. Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups
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APPENDICES

APPENDIX I—ADDRESS-BASED SAMPLING

In the past, a random-digit dialing (RDD) telephone survey was used as the primary method with which to conduct resident surveys. Strict quotas were used to ensure representation demographic characteristics was inline with their actual incidence in the population. While RDD telephone survey research continues to be used widely, it has come under increased scrutiny due to the proliferation of cell phones, as well as declining response rates. This has called into question the representativeness of surveys conducted using traditional RDD samples.

To address the high incidence of cell phone—only households or households whose members primarily use cell phones, NWRG introduced a major methodological change to survey sampling beginning in 2010—address-based sampling. In 2015, the ABS methodology was enhanced with the introduction of geo-targeted cell phone sample.

The sample frame consisted of a list of all addresses in Renton as defined by census block groups—including those indicating that post office boxes are the only way they get mail. This list was then matched against a comprehensive database to determine if the household had a matching cell phone or landline telephone number.

1) If a matching phone number was found, the household was called via landline and asked to complete the survey by phone.
2) If no matching phone number was found, the household was sent a letter signed by the Mayor asking them to complete the survey online.

The table on the next page shows the distribution of landline vs. cell phone households for each sample type compared the population estimate (obtained from the 2013 National Health Statistics Report).
Table 10: Distribution of Landline versus Cell Phone Households

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Population Estimate (2013 NHS)²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landline Sample</td>
<td>Cell Sample</td>
<td>Web Sample</td>
<td>Total Sample</td>
<td>Landline Sample</td>
<td>Cell Sample</td>
<td>Web Sample</td>
<td>Total Sample</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only have a cell phone</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily use a cell</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use landline and cell</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily use a landline</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only have a landline</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The next table shows age and gender breakouts for each sample type.

Table 11: Respondent Demographics by Phone versus Web Sample (unweighted)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Landline Sample</td>
<td>Cell Sample</td>
<td>Web Sample</td>
<td>Landline Sample</td>
<td>Cell Sample</td>
<td>Web Sample</td>
<td>Landline Sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
<td>18 to 34</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
<td>35 to 54</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55+</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
<td>55+</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The passage below from Centris Marketing Intelligence sums up a few of the key advantages of using address-based sampling.

Recent advances in database technologies along with improvements in coverage of household addresses have provided a promising alternative for surveys that require representative samples of households. Obviously, each household has an address and virtually all households receive mail from the U.S. Postal Service (USPS)... Given the evolving problems associated with telephone surveys on the one hand, and the exorbitant cost of on-site enumeration of housing units in area probability sampling applications on the other, many researchers are considering the use of [USPS databases] for sampling purposes. Moreover, the growing problem of non-response—which is not unique to any individual mode of survey administration—suggests that more innovative approaches will be necessary to improve survey participation. These are among the reasons why multi-mode methods for data collection

---


*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
are gaining increasing popularity among survey and market researchers. It is in this context that address-based sample designs provide a convenient framework for an effective administration of surveys that employ multi-mode alternatives for data collection.\(^3\)

**APPENDIX II—RESPONSE RATES**

Response rates are calculated using formulas provided by the American Association for Public Opinion Research (www.aapor.org). The formula used takes into consideration the number of phone numbers dialed, the number of eligible contacts reached (18+ live in Renton, etc.), and the number of ineligible households dialed (no one over 18, not in Renton, etc.).

The AAPOR calculation is generally only used for telephone based surveys. The reason for this is that precise disposition records can be kept each time a phone number is dialed, specifically for numbers dialed that did not result in a completed survey. With mail or online samples, the specific reasons for non-completion are unknown. While the AAPOR calculation can be applied it is not as exact.

**Table 12: Response Rates by Mode – Resident Survey**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ABS LANDLINE</th>
<th>ABS CELL</th>
<th>TARGETED LANDLINE</th>
<th>TARGETED CELL</th>
<th>TOTAL PHONE</th>
<th>WEB</th>
<th>GRAND TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COMPLETED INTERVIEWS</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESPONSE RATE</td>
<td>18.79%</td>
<td>5.23%</td>
<td>8.32%</td>
<td>5.06%</td>
<td>8.75%</td>
<td>4.46%</td>
<td>6.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTACT RATE</td>
<td>54.14%</td>
<td>24.82%</td>
<td>31.69%</td>
<td>26.65%</td>
<td>33.76%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>52.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COOPERATION RATE</td>
<td>41.41%</td>
<td>27.07%</td>
<td>35.00%</td>
<td>24.46%</td>
<td>32.77%</td>
<td>4.46%</td>
<td>13.55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact rate is the proportion of all cases in which some responsible member of the housing unit was reached for the survey. Cooperation rate is the proportion of all cases interviewed of all eligible units contacted. Response rates are the number of completed interviews with reporting units divided by the number of eligible reporting units in the sample.

\(^3\) White Paper, Address-based Sampling, Centris Marketing Intelligence, December 2008.
APPENDIX III—WEIGHTING

The weights were applied in two stages. The first stage weight adjusted for sample frame type by taking the proportion in the sample frame and dividing by the proportion of completed interviews for each sample type. The second weight is a post-stratification weight to make adjustments for imperfections in the sample and to ensure that the final sample represents the general population in Renton. Specifically, a raking weight was applied to ensure that gender, age, race, and income distributions of the sample match those of all Renton residents.

While quotas were created to minimize the differences between the sampled population and the actual population, it is common to find that older individuals—those 55 years old and older—are over-represented in general population studies. Conversely, younger residents—those between 18 and 24 years of age—are under-represented in general population studies. The enhanced methodology used for this study provided a fairly representative sample, but weighting was still used to ensure that differences in responses over the years are not a factor of differences in the characteristics of the respondents in the final sample. The purpose of weighting is to create a multiplier to adjust the final sample distribution so that the survey results better reflect the population. This is done by applying a multiplier to each individual based on that person’s age and gender. Older residents receive a smaller multiplier (e.g., 0.8) while younger residents receive a higher multiplier (e.g., 1.2).

### Table 13: Weighting—Unweighted and Weighted Data Compared to Renton Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Renton Survey (unweighted)</th>
<th>2015 Renton Survey (weighted)</th>
<th>Renton Population*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–34</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–54</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 Plus</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Alone</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not White Alone</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than $35,000</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$35,000 or greater</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Children in Household</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or More</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Home Ownership</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Years Lived in Renton</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–20</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 or More</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source for population figures: All data are 2013 American Survey five-year estimates.

**Note: Age was imputed for respondents who refused their age.

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups
**APPENDIX IV — UNWEIGHTED AND WEIGHTED BASE SIZES**

Unless otherwise noted, all reported statistics are based on weighted base sizes. For reference, the table below provides both weighted and unweighted base sizes for each subgroup of respondents shown in this report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weighted versus Unweighted Base Sizes</th>
<th>By Community Planning Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Respondents</strong></td>
<td>Benson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 (n = 503)</td>
<td>2015 (n = 126, n_w weighted = 118)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Groups of Respondents</strong></td>
<td>City Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with Renton City Employee</td>
<td>2015 (n = 51, n_w weighted = 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 (n = 222, n_w weighted = 206)</td>
<td>Highlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015 (n = 139, n_w weighted = 142)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015 (n = 26, n_w weighted = 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Talbot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015 (n = 43, n_w weighted = 39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East Plateau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015 (n = 54, n_w weighted = 60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cedar River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015 (n = 34, n_w weighted = 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kennydale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015 (n = 30, n_w weighted = 31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
APPENDIX V — MARGIN OF ERROR

The margin of error is a statistic expressing the amount of random sampling error in a survey's results. The larger the margin of error, the less faith one should have that the survey's reported results are close to the true figures — that is, the figures for the whole population. The margin of error decreases as the sample size increases, but only to a point. Moreover, the margin of error is greater when there is more dispersion in responses — for example, 50 percent respond yes and 50 percent respond no — than when opinions are very similar — for example, 90 percent respond yes and 10 percent respond no. The margin of error in the Renton Resident Survey is generally no greater than plus or minus 4.3 percentage points at a 95 percent confidence level. This means that if the same question were asked of a different sample but using the same methodology, 95 times out of 100, the same result within the stated range would be achieved.

The following table provides additional insights into the margin of error with different sample sizes. The proportions shown in the table below

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups

Table 14: Error Associated with Different Proportions at Different Sample Sizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Maximum Margin of Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# APPENDIX VI — RESIDENT QUESTIONNAIRE

City of Renton 2015 Resident Survey  
NWRG Project Number: CAG-15-188  
DATE LAST MODIFIED: 11/11/2015

## TEXT CONVENTIONS

RED TEXT DENOTES PROGRAMMING INSTRUCTIONS

TEXT IN ALLCAPS IS NOT READ TO RESPONDENTS
Text in Yellow highlight indicates question is still being considered in conjunction with the client  
Text in Grey highlight indicates questions to be deleted as necessary for time saving purposes

## WEB PROGRAMMING INSTRUCTIONS

[ALL 998/999 OPTIONS SHOULD BE HIDDEN FOR WEB RESPONDENTS UNLESS/UNTIL THE RESPONDENT TRIES TO ENTER PAST THE QUESTION WITHOUT PROVIDING A RESPONSE]  
[SHOW “UNREAD” RESPONSE OPTIONS, AND USE SENTENCE CASE (CAPITALIZE FIRST LETTER OF WORD / PHRASE ONLY)]  
[RATING SCALES MUST BE SHOWN IN THE FORMAT BELOW:]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Much Worse Than Other Communities</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Much Better Than Other Communities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to get around by car</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transportation available to where I need to go</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SAMPLE PLAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total # of Completes</th>
<th>500+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completes by Sample Type</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDD Landline</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDD Cellphone</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Online)</td>
<td>200(+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quotas</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male 18+</td>
<td>Minimum 223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male 18-34</td>
<td>Minimum 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male 55+</td>
<td>Maximum 84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female 18+</td>
<td>Maximum 277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female 18-34</td>
<td>Minimum 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female 55+</td>
<td>Maximum 98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race – White Alone</td>
<td>Maximum 301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race – Not White Only</td>
<td>Minimum 199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low income – Under $35,000/yr</td>
<td>Minimum 155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
INTRODUCTION
[BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS]
[PROGRAMMING: SECTION FOR TIMING]

[ONLY DISPLAY FOR WEB RESPONDENTS: LOGIN WILL NOT BE DISPLAYED IN TEST LINK]

WEB LOGIN
Please enter your unique user name from the mailing you received:

[ONLY DISPLAY FOR PHONE RESPONDENTS]

ISCALLIN

(Do Not Read) Interviewer: Did this respondent call-in to do the survey?

If You Are Not Sure, Code “No” Below.
1. Yes, respondent called in [Skip Quota Eval on Age/Gender]
2. No, regular outbound dialing

[Display for Phone Respondents Only]

IntroTel [Phone NoteTation] (Reread this screen if you have a new respondent on the phone, otherwise press >> to get into the survey)

Hello. This is ________ with Northwest Research Group, calling on behalf of the City of Renton. We are conducting a survey to gather resident’s opinions regarding satisfaction with City services and would like to include the opinions of your household.

The information will be used to help Renton plan for the future and improve services to the community. This study is being conducted for research purposes only, and everything you say will be kept strictly confidential. This call may be monitored and/or recorded for quality control purposes.

(If Necessary) Your phone number has been randomly chosen for this study.

[Do Not Display If Phone]

IntroWeb

Thank you for agreeing to complete this important survey for the City of Renton. Your household is one of a small number of households randomly selected to participate in this survey so your participation is vital to the success of this research.

This study is being conducted for research purposes only and your responses will be kept strictly confidential. Your input will be used to help Renton plan for the future and improve services to the community.
ASK CP0 IF SAMPLETYPE=CELLPHONE

CP0 Are you currently driving a car or doing any activity requiring your full attention?

01 Yes *(END CALL AND SCHEDULE CALLBACK IN NUTTY)* [SKIP TO THANK1]
00 No
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Refused / Prefer not to answer

SCR1 [PHONE SHOW] To confirm, are you an adult head of your household and 18 years of age or older?

[WEB SHOW] Are you an adult head of your household and 18 years of age or older?

01 Yes
00 No
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know / Prefer not to answer

[IF SCR1=00/999 (NO) AND SURVEY TYPE IS PHONE, ASK SCR1R]
[IF SCR1=00/999 AND SURVEY TYPE IS WEB, THANK AND TERM (THANK3)]

SCR1R May I please speak with an adult head of household 18 years of age or older?

(CLARIFY BETWEEN NO AND NOT AVAILABLE AND CODE ACCORDINGLY)

01 Yes [GO BACK TO INTROTEL]
02 Not Available [SELECT THIS OPTION AND COLLECT RESPONDENT NAME SO THAT WE KNOW WHO TO CALLBACK - SCHEDULE A CALLBACK IN NUTTY AND RECORD NAME IN YOUR CALLBACK NOTES] [GO BACK TO INTROTEL, BUT ROUTE TO SCR1R FIRST WHICH READS “Click the Next button to go back to the intro…”]
03 No one in household 18 or older [THANK AND TERM (THANK3)]
04 Refused [SCREENER REFUSAL (THANK2)]

SCR2 Are you a current resident of Renton?

(AS NEEDED) Do you live within the Renton City Limits?

01 Yes
00 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] No [SKIP TO THANK4]
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know / Prefer not to answer [SKIP TO THANK2]
SCR4 Just to make sure that our study is representative of the City of Renton, [PHONE SHOW: 'may I please have your age? / WEB SHOW: Please enter your age.]

___ Enter Age [RANGE 18 TO 120] [IF UNDER 18 TERMINATE – THANK3]
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

ASK SCR4A IF SCR4=998 OR 999

SCR4A Which of the following categories does your age fall into? [PHONE NOTATION: READ LIST UNTIL VALID RESPONSE IS GIVEN]]

01 18-24
02 25-34
03 35-44
04 45-54
05 55-64
06 65 or older
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

PROGRAMMER: CREATE VARIABLE, “AGE” MONITOR FOR DISTRIBUTION IN PORTAL
VALUE LABLES FOR AGE (LOGIC IN PARENTHESES)
01 18 TO 34 [[[SCR4 GE 18] AND (SCR4 LE 34)] OR (SCR4A = 01, 02)]
02 35 TO 54 [[[SCR4 GE 35] AND (SCR4 LE 54)] OR (SCR4A = 03, 04)]
03 55 PLUS [[[SCR4 GE 55] AND (SCR4 LE 98)] OR (SCR4A = 05, 06)]
99 UNKNOWN [QSCR4A = 98, 99]

SCR5 [PHONE SHOW] (RECORD RESPONDENT’S GENDER) (IF NEEDED) Are you male or female?
[WEB SHOW] Are you . . .

01 Male
02 Female
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know [EXCLUSIVE]
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Refused / Prefer not to answer [EXCLUSIVE]

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
Which categories do you consider yourself? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST, GET A YES OR NO AFTER EACH)]

[PHONE NOTATION: (IF NECESSARY)] We only ask to ensure we include the opinions from all the residents of Renton.

01 White
02 Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin
03 Black or African American
04 Asian
05 American Indian or Alaska Native
06 Middle Eastern or North African
07 Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
888 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Other (Please specify) [SPECIFY]
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know [EXCLUSIVE]
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Refused / Prefer not to answer [EXCLUSIVE]

PROGRAMMER: CREATE VARIABLE, “RACE” MONITOR FOR DISTRIBUTION IN PORTAL
VALUE LABELS FOR RACE (LOGIC IN PARENTHESES)
01 WHITE-ONLY [SCR6=01 AND No OTHER SELECTION]
02 Not WHITE-ONLY [SCR6=02 OR 03 OR 04 OR 06 OR 07]
03 OTHER/UNKNOWN [(SCR=888 AND No OTHER SELECTION) OR SCR6=998, 999]

Is your overall household income above or below $35,000 per year?

01 Above $35,000
02 Below $35,000
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Refused / Prefer not to answer

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
LIVABLE COMMUNITY  
[BASE: ALL]  
[PROGRAMMING: SECTION FOR TIMING]

NWRG1  
[PHONE SHOW] Using a scale from 0 to 10 where “0” means the quality of life in Renton “does not meet your expectations at all” and “10” means the quality of life “greatly exceeds your expectations”, how would you rate the overall quality of life in Renton?

(IF DON’T KNOW/PREFER NOT TO ANSWER) Please use your best estimate, there are no right or wrong answers.

[WEB SHOW] How would you rate the overall quality of life in Renton?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Does Not Meet Expectations at All</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greatly Exceeds Expectations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)]</td>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)]</td>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NWRG2  
[PHONE SHOW] Using the same expectations scale, how would you rate the overall quality of services provided by the City of Renton?

(IF DON’T KNOW/PREFER NOT TO ANSWER) Please use your best estimate, there are no right or wrong answers.

[WEB SHOW] How would you rate the overall quality of services provided by the City of Renton?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Does Not Meet Expectations at All</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greatly Exceeds Expectations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)]</td>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)]</td>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NWRG3  
Compared with other cities and towns, how would you rate Renton as a place to live?

[PHONE SHOW] Use a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means “Significantly worse than other cities and towns” and 10 means “significantly better than other cities and towns”

[PHONE NOTATION: (IF DON’T KNOW/PREFER NOT TO ANSWER) Please use your best estimate, there are no right or wrong answers.]
### Significant worse than other cities and towns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>998</td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know / Not familiar with other cities and towns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Significant better than other cities and towns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>998</td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know / Not familiar with other cities and towns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Overall, would you say that Renton is headed in the right or wrong direction?

#### Strongly headed in the wrong direction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>998</td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Thinking about services and facilities in Renton, do you feel you are getting your money’s worth for your tax dollar or not?

#### Definitely not getting my money’s worth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>998</td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GLINT</th>
<th>Please rate Renton on each of the following. . . [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>[RANDOMIZE DISPLAY ORDER]</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GL1_1</td>
<td>As a place to raise children?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GL1_2</td>
<td>As a place to work?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GL1_3</td>
<td>Your <strong>neighborhood</strong> as a place to live?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01 Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02 Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>03 Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04 Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>998</td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GL4</td>
<td>How would you rate Renton in terms of having a vibrant downtown with sufficient activities and shopping? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01 Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02 Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>03 Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04 Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>998</td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How would you rate Renton on each of the following. . . [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST)]

[RANDOMIZE DISPLAY ORDER]

GL5_3 Availability of recreation activities
GL5_4 Well-planned residential neighborhoods
GL5_5 Well-planned business Zones
GL5_6 Employment opportunities in Renton
GL5_7 Providing support for people in need

01 Poor
02 Fair
03 Good
04 Excellent
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION

[BASE: ALL]
[PROGRAMMING: SECTION FOR TIMING]

DI1 How would you rate Renton’s openness and willingness to include people of diverse backgrounds? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST)]

01 Poor
02 Fair
03 Good
04 Excellent
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
DI2  How would you rate the job Renton government does to reach out to and include its diverse cultural and language populations? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST)]

01  Poor  
02  Fair  
03  Good  
04  Excellent  
998  [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know  
999  [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

DI3  Now, using a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means “not at all familiar” and 10 means “very familiar,” how familiar are you with services provided by the City of Renton to reach out and include its diverse cultural and language populations?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not at all familiar</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                    | 998  | [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know  
|                    | 999  | [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

DI4  Have you used any of these services?

01  Yes 
00  No 
998  [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know 
999  [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer
ACTIVITIES AND EVENTS
[BASE: ALL]
[PROGRAMMING: SECTION FOR TIMING]

AE1INT In the past 12 months, have you or anyone in your household... [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST, GET A YES OR NO AFTER EACH) (IF YES, CLARIFY) Is that you or another person in your household?]

[RANDOMIZE DISPLAY ORDER]
AE1_1 Participated in a Renton recreation program?
AE1_2 Visited a neighborhood or city park?
AE1_3 Attended a visual or performing arts event in Renton?
AE1_4 Visited Renton’s History Museum?
AE1_5 Participated in a City event or activity such as River Days, Holiday Lights or 4th of July Fireworks?
AE1_6 Visited the Farmers Market or other downtown events?
AE1_7 Gone to film festival events?
AE1_8 Gone to the Renton Car Show?
AE1_9 Attended Neighborhood Picnics?

01 Yes - Myself
02 Yes – Another person in the household
03 No – No one
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

AE2INT To what extent do you agree or disagree that City sponsored special events and activities...[PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST)]

[RANDOMIZE DISPLAY ORDER]
AE2_1 Promote awareness of Renton’s amenities [PHONE SHOW: (ah-men-it-eez)] to residents and visitors?
AE2_2 Build a sense of community
AE2_3 Are a good use of public resources?

01 Strongly Disagree
02 Somewhat Disagree
03 Neither Agree nor Disagree
04 Somewhat Agree
05 Strongly Agree
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups
Using the scale Very Unsafe, Somewhat Unsafe, neither Safe nor Unsafe, Somewhat Safe, or Very Safe, How safe or unsafe do you feel while walking alone in the following situations? [PHONE NOTATION: (REREAD LIST IF NECESSARY)]

[RANDOMIZE DISPLAY ORDER]
SS1_1 In your neighborhood during the day?
SS1_2 In your neighborhood after dark?
SS1_3 In the Landing during the day?
SS1_4 In Downtown Renton during the day?
SS1_5 In Downtown Renton after dark?
SS1_6 In Renton’s city and neighborhood parks?
SS1_7 Along Renton’s trails?
SS1_8 In the Landing after dark?

01 Very Unsafe
02 Somewhat Unsafe
03 Neither Safe nor Unsafe
04 Somewhat Safe
05 Very Safe
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer
**POLICE**

*BASE: ALL*

*PROGRAMMING: SECTION FOR TIMING*

**PDINT**  Now, using a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means “not at all confident” and 10 means “very confident,” from what you have experienced, seen, or heard, how confident are you in Renton’s **police department** to…?

**[RANDOMIZE DISPLAY ORDER]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PD1</th>
<th>Respond to emergencies in a timely manner?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD2</td>
<td>Consistently enforce the laws?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not at all confident</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>998</td>
<td>PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)</td>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>999</td>
<td>PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)</td>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PD4**  Overall, how would you rate the approachability of Police Officers in Renton? Use a 0 to 10 where 0 means “not at all approachable” and 10 means “very approachable.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not at all approachable</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>998</td>
<td>PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)</td>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>999</td>
<td>PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)</td>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**FIRE / EMS**

*BASE: ALL*

*PROGRAMMING: SECTION FOR TIMING*

---

**FIRE1**

Using a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means “not at all confident” and 10 means “very confident,” from what you have experienced, seen, or heard, how confident are you in Renton’s Fire and EMS department to respond to emergencies in a timely manner?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not at all confident</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FIRE3**

Overall, how would you rate the approachability of Fire and EMS personnel in Renton? Use a 0 to 10 where 0 means “not at all approachable” and 10 means “very approachable.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not at all approachable</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
DISASTER PREPAREDNESS
[BASE: ALL]
[PROGRAMMING: SECTION FOR TIMING]

DP1 Emergency services states that households should have 5 to 7 days worth of food and water in order to be adequately prepared for emergencies. Using that as a guide, how prepared is your household for a disaster or emergency such as an earthquake or major storm? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST)]

01 Not at all Prepared  
02 Not Very Prepared  
03 Somewhat Prepared  
04 Very Prepared  
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know  
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

DP2 How knowledgeable are you about Emergency Preparedness programs offered by the City of Renton? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST)]

01 Not at all Knowledgeable  
02 Not Very Knowledgeable  
03 Somewhat Knowledgeable  
04 Very Knowledgeable  
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know  
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups
These next questions are focused on travel within and through Renton. Please note that Renton has no control over major highways or interstates such as 167 or 405 so when thinking about travel, do not include these highways. How would you rate Renton on each of the following? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST)]

[RANDOMIZE DISPLAY ORDER]

MOB1 Overall ease of travelling?
MOB2 Ease of car travel in Renton?
MOB3 Ease of being able to walk to many different places?
MOB4 Adequacy [PHONE SHOW: (ad-uh-quah-see)] of bike lanes and paths?
MOB5 Adequacy [PHONE SHOW: (ad-uh-quah-see)] of local roads to support new residential and business developments?
MOB6 Ability to get around Renton using public transportation?
MOB7 Ability to get from Renton to/from other places in the Puget Sound using public transportation?

01 Poor
02 Fair
03 Good
04 Excellent
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer
UTILITIES AND MAINTENANCE

[BASE: ALL]
[PROGRAMMING: SECTION FOR TIMING]

UTILINT These next few questions are about Utilities and the Environment, how would you rate Renton on each of the following? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST)]

- UTIL1_1 Maintenance of sidewalks and walkways?
- UTIL1_2 Street repair and maintenance?
- UTIL1_3 Garbage, yardwaste, and recycling collection service?
- UTIL1_4 Taste and cleanliness of Renton’s drinking water?
- UTIL1_5 Protecting and restoring Renton’s natural streams, lakes, and wetlands?

[RANDOMIZE DISPLAY ORDER]

01 Poor
02 Fair
03 Good
04 Excellent
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

UTIL2 To what extent are dilapidated [PHONE SHOW: (dill-app-ah-day-ted)] properties a problem in your neighborhood? Would you say they are a…? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST) (AS NEEDED)] By dilapidated, we mean property in a state of disrepair due to age or neglect.

01 Major Problem
02 Minor Problem
03 Not a Problem At All
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

UTIL3 How about in Renton overall, would you say that dilapidated [PHONE SHOW: (dill-app-ah-day-ted)] properties are a…? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST) (AS NEEDED)] By dilapidated, we mean property in a state of disrepair due to age or neglect.

01 Major Problem
02 Minor Problem
03 Not a Problem At All
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
UTIL4 How would you rate Renton’s efforts to enforce codes related to property maintenance issues such as weeds, junk lots or abandoned buildings? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST)]

01 Poor
02 Fair
03 Good
04 Excellent
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

UTIL5 How good a job does Renton do with each of the following? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST)]

[RANDOMIZE DISPLAY ORDER]

UTIL5_1 Providing access to and preservation of open spaces such as trails, parks, and wetlands?
UTIL5_2 Quality of the natural environment?

01 Poor
02 Fair
03 Good
04 Excellent
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer
CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

[CIVIC1] In the past 12 months, have you or your household members...? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST, GET A YES OR NO AFTER EACH)]
(IF YES, CLARIFY) Is that you or another person in your household?

[RANDOMIZE DISPLAY ORDER]

CIVIC1_1 Voiced your opinions regarding the City to a City employee?
CIVIC1_2 Volunteered your time for to a group or activity to help others in your neighborhood?

01 Yes - Myself
02 Yes – Another person in the household
03 No – No one
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

INFORMATION SOURCES

[INFO1] Which of the following do you use to get information about the City of Renton? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST, GET A YES OR NO AFTER EACH)]

[MULTIPLE SELECT – RANDOMIZE DISPLAY ORDER]

01 Renton’s E-Newsletter, “Byte of Renton”
02 Social media such as Facebook, Twitter, or YouTube
03 The “Renton Reporter”
04 Information in utility bill such as the “Renton City News”
05 Renton Parks brochure
06 Renton’s website [PHONE NOTATION: (AS NEEDED) rentonwa.gov] [WEB SHOW] (rentonwa.gov)
07 Cable Access Chanel 21
888 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] OTHER [SPECIFY]
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
GOVERNMENT ACTIONS

[BASE: ALL]
[PROGRAMMING: SECTION FOR TIMING]

GA1INT How would you rate the job Renton City Government does in…? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST)]

[RANDOMIZE DISPLAY ORDER]
GA1_1 Keeping residents informed?
GA1_2 Seeking residents involvement and input?
GA1_3 Having a clear vision and strategy for the future?
GA1_5 Listening to its residents?

01 Poor
02 Fair
03 Good
04 Excellent
998 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999 [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Prefer not to answer
## CITY EMPLOYEES

**[BASE: ALL]**

**[PROGRAMMING: SECTION FOR TIMING]**

### CE1
In the past 12 months, have you had contact with an employee of the City of Renton?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>998</td>
<td>Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ASK CE2 TO CE2_4 IF CE1 = 01**

### CE2
Was that contact in person, via email, or over the phone?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>In person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>998</td>
<td>Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOV3INT
In your most recent contact, how would you rate City of Renton employees on each of the following? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST)]

**[RANDOMIZE DISPLAY ORDER]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOV3_1</td>
<td>Overall knowledge?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOV3_2</td>
<td>Responsiveness?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOV3_3</td>
<td>Courtesy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOV3_4</td>
<td>Your overall impression of City of Renton employees?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>998</td>
<td>Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*
**STANDARD DEMOGRAPHICS**

*BASE: ALL*

*[PROGRAMMING: SECTION FOR TIMING]*

DEMOINT These final questions will help us group your answers with others.

DEMO1 Including yourself, how many people currently live in your household? *(IF MORE THAN 10, ENTER 10)*

- **[1]** ENTER NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS *[RANGE 1 TO 10]*
- **998** [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
- **999** [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Refused / Prefer not to answer

[ASK DEMO1A IF DEMO1 >1]

DEMO1A Including yourself, how many people currently live in your household in each of the following age categories? *[PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST, GET A RESPONSE FOR EACH)]*

[LOGIC CHECK: SUM OF RESPONSES TO CATEGORIES BELOW SHOULD BE EQUAL TO NUMBER GIVEN IN DEMO1]

- **[1]** 65 and over
- **[1]** 18 to 64
- **[1]** 13 to 17
- **[1]** 5 to 12
- **[1]** Under the age of 5

- **998** [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
- **999** [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Refused / Prefer not to answer

LANG1 Do you speak a language other than English at home?

- **01** Yes
- **00** No

- **998** [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
- **999** [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Refused / Prefer not to answer

[ASK IF LANG1 = 01]

LANG2 What is this language?

- **01** Spanish
- **888** Other Language *(Please specify)* *[SPECIFY]*

- **998** [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
- **999** [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Refused / Prefer not to answer
[ASK IF LANG1 = 01]
LANG3  How well do you speak English? Would you say…? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST)]

01   Very well
02   Well
03   Not very well
04   Not at all
998  [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999  [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Refused / Prefer not to answer

DEMO2  How many years have you lived in Renton? [PHONE NOTATION: (IF NECESSARY)] If you have lived in the city for less than a full answer, please answer with 0.

______ ENTER NUMBER OF YEAR [RANGE 0 TO 120]
998  [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999  [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Refused / Prefer not to answer

DEMO3  Do you own or rent your residence?

01   Own
02   Rent
998  [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999  [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Refused / Prefer not to answer

DEMO4  What is the highest grade or year of school you completed? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST IF NECESSARY, UNTIL VALID RESPONSE IS GIVEN)]

01   Never attended school or only completed Kindergartedn
02   Grades 1 through 8 (Elementary)
03   Grades 9 through 11 (Some high school)
04   High School graduate or GED (High School Graduate)
05   College 1 to 3 years (Some college or technical school)
06   College 4 years (College graduate)
07   Graduate school (Advanced degree)
998  [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups
DEMO5  What is the approximate total annual income of all members of your household? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST UNTIL VALID RESPONSE IS GIVEN)]

01  Less than $10,000
02  $10,000 to less than $20,000
03  $20,000 to less than $35,000
04  $35,000 to less than $50,000
05  $50,000 to less than $75,000
06  $75,000 to less than $100,000
07  $100,000 to less than $150,000
08  $150,000 or more
998  [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999  [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Refused / Prefer not to answer

TEL  Which of the following best describes how you make or receive calls at home? [PHONE NOTATION: (READ LIST IF NECESSARY)]

01  Only have a cell phone (To make or receive calls)
02  Primarily use a cell phone
04  Use both a landline or cell phone equally
05  Primarily use a landline
06  Only have a landline (To make or receive calls)
998  [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Don’t know
999  [PHONE NOTATION: (DO NOT READ)] Refused / Prefer not to answer
THANKEND  Thank you very much for your time. Your participation will assist the City of Renton better plan for the future and improve services to the community.

[PHONE NOTATION] (INTERVIEWER: CODE IN CATI AS “COMPLETE”)
[WEB NOTATION] You may now close your browser window.

THANK1  I’m sorry for disturbing you. When would be a better time to call back?

[PHONE NOTATION] (INTERVIEWER: SCHEDULE A CALLBACK IN NUTTY)
[WEB NOTATION] You may now close your browser window.

[CODE AS CELL PHONE INCOMPLETE AND ALLOW SURVEY REENTRY. THE SURVEY SHOULD OPEN BACK UP TO INTROTEL]

THANK2  I'm sorry, but we cannot continue without that information. Have a good day/evening.

[PHONE NOTATION] (INTERVIEWER: CODE IN CATI AS “SCREENER REFUSAL”)  
[WEB NOTATION] You may now close your browser window. 

[THESE SHOULD NOT FACTOR INTO THE INCIDENCE FORMULA]

THANK3  Thank you but we are only interviewing heads of household who are 18 years of age and older.

[PHONE NOTATION] (INTERVIEWER: CODE IN CATI AS “NQ TERM: AGE”)  
[WEB NOTATION] You may now close your browser window.

THANK4  Thank you but we are only interviewing residents of Renton.

[PHONE NOTATION] (INTERVIEWER: CODE IN CATI AS “NQ TERM: GEO”)  
[WEB NOTATION] You may now close your browser window.

*Use caution, small sample sizes for these groups*